

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,658	02/23/2004	Sang-Jin Park	21C-0093	2674
23413 73	590 06/21/2006		EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP			SCHECHTER, ANDREW M	
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DECOM IEEE	, 01 00002		2871	

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/785,658	PARK ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
	Andrew Schechter	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence ac	ldress
THE REPLY FILED 13 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP		•	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliar time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment otice of Appeal (with appeal for the with 37 CFR 1.114. The re	ent, affidavit, or other evide ee) in compliance with 37	ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expiresmonths with the mailing date of this		et forth in the final rejection, v	vhichever is later In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	later than SIX MONTHS from the (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WH 706.07(f).	e mailing date of the final reject EN THE FIRST REPLY WAS	ction. FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding a shortened statutory period for re er than three months after the ma	amount of the fee. The approperty originally set in the final O	priate extension fee ffice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37 d within the time period set fo	(e)), to avoid dismissal of rth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further c (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	onsideration and/or search (s ow);	ee NOTE below);	
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			g the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1. 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	les Commisses Assessed	4 (DTOL 204)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ion-compliant Amendmen	it (P10L-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		parate, timely filed amendn	nent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is professed in the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11 and 17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 12-16.	) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) ovided below or appended.	☐ will be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the	affidavit or other evidence	is necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ry and was not earlier presen	r appeal and/or appellant f ted. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for allow	ance because:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment, for instance adding new claim 18, would require further search and consideration to determine if there is allowable subject matter in the proposed claims.

Andrew Schechter Primary Examiner

Technology Center 2800 15 June 2006

į